

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,396	05/07/2001	Edward Kantorovich	082/02133	3087
44909 7	7590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER .	
WOLF, BLO	CK, SCHORR & SOLI	ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON		
250 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10177			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW TURK,	N 1 101//		3742	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		e.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/831,396	KANTOROVICH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel I. Robinson	3742
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ill, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice 	o)⊠ This action is non-final. or allowance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>31-49</u> is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30 and 50-58</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-30</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to 1	a) accepted or b) objected to lion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan the correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage

Attachment(s)

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/04,7/04,10/02,7/.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/831,396

Art Unit: 3742

Response to Election

Applicant's election with traverse of Species A in the reply filed on 4-12-2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the terms "bone velocity" and "acoustic velocity in bone" are the same. This is not found persuasive because bone velocity taken literally means the velocity of a bone, and acoustic velocity in bone is the velocity of a sound vibration in a bone. If the terms are one and the same, then the claim language should be consistent.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent basis for "the jopint" in claim 11

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 09/831,396

Art Unit: 3742

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, 14-21, and 50-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berger(U.S.Pat.5,806,520) in view of Higo et al.(U.S.Pat.4,819,753). Berger discloses a method and device for evaluating and characterizing the properties of bones that shows many of the features of the claimed invention but fails to show first second bones as being analyzed with respect to the velocity of a sound wave through bone. Higo discloses a functional evaluation device that shows analyzing the acoustics of two bones. It would have been obvious to on of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use two bone measurements as taught by Higo with the device of Berger so the joint between two bones can be analyzed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-13 and 22-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Weinstein, Scanlon and Whitney are cited to show structure similar to the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,396

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel I. Robinson whose telephone number is 703 306-9043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30am-2:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dlr